1 JUDGE SETTLE 2 3 4 5 6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR08-5453BHS 10 Plaintiff. **DEFENDANT 'S UNOPPOSED** MOTION FOR ORDER EXTENDING 11 PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE ٧. and 12 RYAN M. BURGE. ORDER AUTHORIZING SAME 13 Defendant. 14 NOTE FOR: July 11, 2008 15 16 Counsel for the defendant moves this Court to extend the motions filing deadline 17 three weeks from July 17, 2008 to August 7,2008 based on the following reasons. 18 AUSA London does not object to this extension of time request. Although according 19 to AUSA London the discovery in this matter was mailed to defense counsel on July 3, has 20 not yet been received by the defense as of today. Government counsel will provide a 21 second set to defense counsel tomorrow. Additional discovery generated in a state 22 prosecution that was pending in Cowlitz County for almost one year (based on the same 23

allegations set forth in this cause), yielded approximately 15 pounds of hard copy discovery

that defense counsel is in the process of reviewing. Given the volume of discovery and the

fact that defense counsel is in trial preparation for a case set for July 21 before Judge

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER EXTENDING PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE -

24

25

26

Law offices of **Kenneth E. Kanev** $1001\ 4^{\text{TH}}\ \text{AVENUE},\ \text{SUITE}\ 2120$ Seattle, washington 98154-1109 $206\text{-}223\text{-}1355(\text{V})\ 206\text{-}583\text{-}2278(\text{F})$

Martinez, defense counsel needs the requested additional time to consider and file pre-trial 1 motions. 2 3 Government counsel has indicated the likelihood that additional fraud charges will be 4 added by a superseding indictment prior to trial in the event plea negotiations do not resolve 5 the case. This in turn will undoubtedly result in the need to re-set the trial date and a pretrial motions date. 6 7 Both parties' respective counsel believe this is a factually complex case and therefore 8 at this time believe that it will be very difficult if not impossible to be ready for trial on 9 September 2, 2008. (Under the present trial schedule for example, trial pleadings are due to 10 be filed on August 19.) They further believe that more time is needed by both counsel to address these matters. The defendant is not in custody. 11 Dated this 9th day of July, 2008. 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 14 /s/ Kenneth E. Kanev 15 By: KENNETH E. KANEV. Attorney for Defendant Ryan Burge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER LAW OFFICES OF

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER EXTENDING PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE -

LAW OFFICES OF

KENNETH E. KANEV

1001 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 2120

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98154-1109

206-223-1355(V) 206-583-2278(F)

1		ORDER		
2		Based on the foregoing,		
3		IT IS SO ORDERED that the pre-tri	al motions filing deadline is e	extended to August 7
4	2008.		3	
5		Dated this 16 th day of July, 2008.		
6				
7				
8			$\langle AC \rangle$	
9			Ogy / Soute	
10			BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judg	re
11			2	,
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
-	DEF	ENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER		LAW OFFICES OF

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER EXTENDING PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE -

LAW OFFICES OF **KENNETH E. KANEV**1001 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 2120
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98154-1109
206-223-1355(V) 206-583-2278(F)